



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,278	04/24/2000		Scott C. Harris	SCH/PAGE ENCRYPTION	8110
23844	7590	11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
SCOTT C H.				LIPMAN	, JACOB
P O BOX 927 SAN DIEGO,)2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAIN DILOO,	C/1 /21/			2134	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
09/557,278	HARRIS, SCOTT C.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Jacob Lipman	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a n places the application i	a in				
PLY [check either a) or b)]						
dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See M R 1.136(a) and the appropriate	MPEP e extension				
e later than three months after the mail						
		า				
ecause:						
er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
elow);	•					
n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplify	ing the				
ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
ion(s):						
	eparate, timely filed ame	ndment				
ecause: See Continuation Sheet.		•				
• • •		ın				
•						
roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
	Examiner Jacob Lipman ars on the cover sheet with the countries applicated and applicated and applicated at imely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed and filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed with a filed with a filed with a filed on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amondment shortened statutory period for reply filed at the filed with a file	Examiner Jacob Lipman ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANC oid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a a timely filed amendment which places the application is (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuity (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed within the final rejection, whichever are replaced and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate feet extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate feet extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate feet shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office we later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, FR 1.704(b). Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Because: Because				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: They raise no new issues. Applicant seems to be repeating arguments addressed in previous office actions. Applicant argues definitions without reference to counter the examiner's cited definition...

Continuation of 10. Other: Claim 9 has been amended to fix clarity issues, and remains rejected under 35 USC 102(b), as outlined in prior office actions. .

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY DENTED TOO